

**SPBC SMU/FA/BC RFP FY22  
Questions & Answers**

---

**Request for Proposals (“RFP”) to Serve as  
Senior Managing Underwriter and/or Financial Advisor  
to the State Property and Buildings Commission  
During Fiscal Year 2022**

1. On the top of page 10 of the RFP, regarding assumptions for structure a hypothetical bonds it states that the indicative rates should be based on close of business on May 1, 2021, which was a Saturday. Should it instead be June 1, 2021, a Tuesday, for the indicative date?

**ANSWER: Use of April 30, 2021 is appropriate for the indicative date.**

2. According to item “2.Refunding” under the Structure section, Attachment B should be as of April 30, 2021. It appears that the attachment is as of 3/31/2019. Please let us know if this is the most current or if there was an updated list.

**ANSWER: Attachment B was updated and was redistributed to the distribution list.**

3. Regarding Question V.3.B: Expected composition of buyers for two (1) proposed SPBC issuance to be sold in Fiscal Year 2020 in the amount of \$200 million (GF new money). Should the word “two” be “one” to match the number in parenthesis? And should we assume the issuance to be in Fiscal Year 2022?

**ANSWER: Yes, should be one (1) proposed SPBC issuance to be sold in Fiscal Year 2022.**

**Request for Proposals (“RFP”) to Serve as  
Bond Counsel to the  
State Property and Buildings Commission  
During Fiscal Year 2022**

**No Questions submitted.**